

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | ICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/019,121 05/16/2003 Karin Klokkers 7590 06/26/2006 | | 5/16/2003 | Karin Klokkers | 4271-34PUS | 8059 | |
| | | EXAMINER | | | | |
| Vincent M. F. | azzari | | GHALI, I | GHALI, ISIS A D | | |
| Cohen Pontani | Lieberm | an & Pavane | 1000 | DA DED MUMBED | | |
| 551 Fifth Aver | nue Suite | 1210 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| New York, NY | Y 10176 | 5 | 1615 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | Application No. Application No. | | pplicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Astion Communication | 10/019,12 | I | KLOKKERS ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Isis Ghali | | 1615 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | n appears on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence a | ddress | | | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applic | S COMMUNICATIO at, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON | DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | This action is no | n-final | | | | | | |
| 3) | ,— | | | rosecution as to th | e merits is | | | | |
| ٠/١ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | and the parties and | y.c, .ccc c.z, . | | | | | | |
| · | | otion | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| _ | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| · _ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are objected to. | and/or alastica re | ai.a.a.a.a.a.t | | | | | | |
| اـــا(٥ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election re | quirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ed copies not receiv | eu. | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summar | v (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | 8) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | | | | | |
| 3) ∐ Infon Pape | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date | | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 are pending.

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on July 12, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references cited in the Search Report were not provided on a separate list in order to have the references printed on any patent resulting from this application.

Specification

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,121

Art Unit: 1615

(d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT

(e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Page 3

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 8-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-19 are not been further treated on the merits.

015

Page 4

Claims 1-7 are included in the prosecution.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-19 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,303,141.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the present claims and the claims of the issued patent are directed to common subject matter that is a transdermal drug delivery device comprising backing layer, matrix containing ACE inhibitor, and protective release liner. The ACE inhibitor of the issued patent is at least one of ramipril or trandolapril present in the form

of prodrug or active form, i.e. dicarboxylic acid, salts and esters. The claims of the issued patent anticipate the present claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is confusing as it recites that the ACE inhibitor present in the form of dicarboxylic acid derivatives, and later recite that the monosalt, and it is confusing how the di-acid form a mono salt?

Regarding claim 4, a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. The claims are rendered indefinite by raising a question or doubt introduced by the limitations following the expression "especially" because it is subject of more than one interpretation, and one interpretation would render the claim unpatentable over the prior art. In the present instance, the broad range is ramipril and/or trandolapril, and the narrower limitation is mono-sulphonic acid salt or disodium salt of ramiprilat and/or trandolaprilat.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 349 430 (430).

EP '430 disclosed a transdermal system that has a top layer, a layer containing ACE inhibitor, an adhesive layer and protective layer (page 3, lines 40-50). Example 1 shows that ACE inhibitors are present as di-salt of dicarboxylic acid (benazepril dipotassium or dilithium salt) and have an increased permeation rate.

12. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,303,141 ('141).

The applied reference has a common assignee and two inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention

Art Unit: 1615

disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

US '141 discloses transdermal drug delivery device comprising backing layer, matrix containing ACE inhibitor, and protective release liner. The ACE inhibitor is at least one of ramipril or trandolapril. The ACE inhibitor is present in the form of prodrug or active form, i.e. dicarboxylic acid, salts and esters (abstract; col.2, lines 25-30, 37-43; the claims).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/019,121

Art Unit: 1615

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

15. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 349 430 ('430) in view of EP 452 837 ('837) or US 5,362,497 ('497).

EP '430 teaches a transdermal system that has a top layer, a layer containing ACE inhibitor, an adhesive layer and protective layer (page 3, lines 40-50). Example 1 shows that ACE inhibitors are present as di-salt of dicarboxylic acid (benazepril dipotassium or dilithium salt) and have an increased permeation rate.

EP '430 does not specifically teach monosalts and di-ester forms of ACE inhibitors.

Applicants failed to show superior and unexpected results obtained from using monosalts or di-esters of ACE inhibitors.

EP '837 teaches transdermal system comprising backing layer, release liner, and matrix layer containing acid derived monosalts of ACE inhibitors such as enalapril maleate or delapril hydrochloride, or basic salt (R)-3-[(S)-1-carboxy-5-(4-piperidyl) pentyl]amino-4-oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,5-benzothiazepine-5-acetic acid (page 5, lines 24-26; page 10, example 1; figures 2 and 3). The drugs provide stable sustained release for prolonged period (abstract).

US '497 teaches transdermal system comprising backing layer, release liner, and matrix layer containing acid derived monosalts of ACE inhibitors such as captopril or delapril, or basic salt (R)-3-[(S)-1-carboxy-5-(4-piperidyl) pentyl]amino-4-oxo-2,3,4,5tetrahydro- 1,5-benzothiazepine-5-acetic acid (abstract; col.2, lines 57-63; col.7, example 1). The drugs are suitable for systemic release when administered transdermally (col.2, lines 53-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide transdermal drug delivery for salts of ACE inhibitors as disclosed of EP '430, and replace the di-salt with the monosalts and basic salts as disclosed by any of EP '837 and US '497, motivated by the teaching of EP '837 that monosalts and basic salts have stable sustained release for prolonged period when administered transdermally, or motivated by the teaching of US '497 that monosalts are capable of providing systemic effects when administered transdermally, with reasonable expectation of having monosalts and basic salts of dicarboxylic acid forms of ACE with systemic effects for prolonged period.

Minor Informalities

- 16. Claims 5 and 6 contain typographical errors.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/019,121 Page 10

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

Jus ghan

ISIS GHALI PATENT EXAMINER